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## Cost Allocation in Shared Service Activities

How do we account for and determine the shared costs of shared public health services?

Essential point: "Cost allocation schemes are like snowflakes..."; There is no standard approach

Focus today on The "Seven Basic Strategies" for cost allocation of shared public health activities

## A Quick Example - Hypertension Prevention and Management

"County A" and "County B" are negotiating a sharing arrangement for hypertension prevention and management (HPM) services.

HPM program's total cost $=\$ 500,000$; mostly public health nurses, a program coordinator, space, and travel

Counties have different demographics and HPM service needs:

- County A has a larger population
- County B's median household income is higher
- County A has far more cases of Type II diabetes


## Equal Share

Allocation formula:

$$
\frac{\$ 500,000}{2 \text { counties }}=\$ 250,000 \text { per county }
$$

Advantages: simple, transparent
Disadvantages: does not relate to underlying cost drivers

## Per Capita Sharing

If County A's population is 240,000 , and County B's population is 160,000 then:

Allocation Formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 240,000 / 400,000=.6=\text { County A's share of service population } \\
& 160,000 / 400,000=.4=\text { County B's share of service population } \\
& \text { Therefore: } \\
& \$ 500,000(.6)=\$ 300,000=\text { County A's cost share } \\
& \$ 500,000(.4)=\$ 200,000=\text { County B's cost share }
\end{aligned}
$$

Advantages: Simple, transparent, perceived fairness
Disadvantage: Population may not correlate well to actual costs, cost drivers, or program objectives

## Cost Plus Fixed Fee

Generally a per capita allocation, plus some additional fee to cover start-up costs

For example, County B might adjust its per capita share from $\$ 200,000$ to $\$ 235,000$ to compensate County A for hiring a new program coordinator at the beginning of the year

Advantage: Helps address challenges of "fixed" or "step-fixed" costs
Disadvantage: Fees are often arbitrary and difficult to negotiate later on

## Ability to Pay

If County A's per capita income is $\$ 40,000$ and County B's per capita income is $\$ 50,000$, then:
$\$ 40,000 / \$ 90,000=.44=$ County A's share of the "Wealth Factor" $\$ 50,000 / \$ 90,000=.56=$ County B's share of the "Wealth Factor" Therefore : $\$ 500,000(.44)=\$ 220,000=$ County A's cost share $\$ 500,000(.56)=\$ 280,000=$ County B's cost share

Advantage: Perceived fairness
Disadvantage: Politically contentious

## Incidence or Prevalence

If there are 12,740 known annual cases of Type II Diabetes in County A, and 5,460 known cases in County B, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12,740 / 18,200=.7=\text { County A's share of "HPM Prevalence" } \\
& 5,460 / 18,200=.3=\text { County B's share of "HPM Prevalance" } \\
& \text { Therefore : } \\
& \$ 500,000(.7)=\$ 350,000=\text { County A's cost share } \\
& \$ 500,000(.3)=\$ 150,000=\text { County B's cost share }
\end{aligned}
$$

Advantage: Often perceived as most fair
Disadvantage: Measurement issues, especially for preventative services

## Weighted Formula

We can combine population, ability to pay, and incidence into a single "weighted formula"

Imagine County A and County B agree to weight ability to pay as $50 \%$ of the formula, and population and incidence as $25 \%$ each. The allocations for County A/County B for population are .6/.4, for incidence they're $.7 / .3$, and for ability to pay they're .44/.56. The formula here is:

County A: . $6(.25)+.7(.25)+.44(.5)=.15+.175+.22=.545$
County B: $.4(.25)+.3(.25)+.56(.5)=.1+.075+.28=.455$
Therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 500,000(.545)=\$ 272,500=\text { County A's cost share } \\
& \$ 500,000(.455)=\$ 227,500=\text { County B's cost share }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fee for Service

If the full cost of an HPM outreach/counseling session is $\$ 200$, and the HPM program delivers 750 sessions in County A and 1,750 sessions in County B, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 750(\$ 200)=\$ 150,000=\text { County A's cost share } \\
& 1,750(\$ 200)==\$ 350,000=\text { County B's cost share }
\end{aligned}
$$

Advantages: Connects costs to cost drivers; transparent
Disadvantages: Difficult to implement without good data on direct costs, indirect costs, service delivery; might not be directly connected to the mission

